# **Examining Postpartum Contraception Choices among Teens**

Regan Gage, MD<sup>a</sup>, Anna Beth Parlier, BS<sup>a</sup>, Lydia Russell-Roy, BA<sup>b</sup>, Mimi Konitzer, MD<sup>a</sup>, Bre Bolivar, MD<sup>a</sup>, Addie Bardolph, BS<sup>b</sup>, Andrea Currens, MD<sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup>Mountain Area Health Education Center, Asheville, NC Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology <sup>b</sup>University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Asheville Campus

#### Abstract

**Objectives:** To assess how effectively we provide adolescents with adequate prenatal care and postpartum contraception, particularly long-acting reversible contraception (LARC), and to identify factors that prevent intended postpartum LARC initiation. In addition, we sought to understand how effectively we help prevent repeat, unintended pregnancies. **Methods:** We conducted a retrospective chart review of 155 MAHEC Ob/Gyn Specialists' patients, ages 15-19, who delivered at Mission Hospital between 3/2013-8/2014. We examined prenatal care utilization, birth outcomes, and postpartum contraception using Chi square and binary logistic regression. **Results:** The majority (74.2%) was 18-19yrs old, white (77.4%), and nulliparous (87.1%). Fifty-four (34.6%) had a mood disorder. Many (37.2%) entered prenatal care late; 14.1% had

four (34.6%) had a mood disorder. Many (37.2%) entered prenatal care late; 14.1% had inadequate prenatal care. Only 30 (19.2%) received prenatal care in a teen-specific clinic. There were 162 babies born. Twenty-nine (17.9%) babies were preterm; 26 (16.0%) had low birth weight. Most women (76.3%) attended their postpartum visit. Many teens intended postpartum LARC (74.4%); 69 (59.5%) of these received LARC. Significant predictors of not receiving intended postpartum LARC were late entry to prenatal care and multiparty. Of the 156 deliveries, 10 (6.4%) women experienced a repeat pregnancy in <10 months. **Conclusions:** Teens may benefit from immediate postpartum LARC placement prior to hospital discharge or same day placement of LARC at the postpartum visit, especially if they are multiparous and/or initiate prenatal care late.

Keywords: Teen, LARC, Postpartum contraception, Repeat pregnancy

#### Introduction

Although teen pregnancy rates have been declining nationally over the last two decades,<sup>1-3</sup> Western North Carolina rates remain above the national average.<sup>4</sup> Studies have shown that teen moms and infants of teen moms are more likely to experience short term and long term negative outcomes, including poverty.<sup>5-12</sup>

Teen moms experience high rates of rapid and unintended repeat pregnancies.<sup>13-15</sup> Within 18 months of an index pregnancy, 28-63% of teen moms have a repeat pregnancy.<sup>13,16</sup> In 2006, more than 4 out of 5 pregnancies among women  $\leq$  19 years of age were unintended.<sup>17</sup> Many teens have unintended pregnancies due to lack of access to healthcare and inaccurate information about contraception.<sup>18</sup> Such rapid repeat pregnancies are related to adverse maternal and fetal outcomes.<sup>19-22</sup>

Of the teens that use contraception, the majority use hormonal methods.<sup>23</sup> However, studies have shown that teens are less likely to be satisfied with hormonal methods and continuation rates are low (<50.0%).<sup>24-25</sup> Long-acting reversible contraception (LARC) such as contraceptive implants and intrauterine devices (IUDs), are highly effective forms of birth control for teens. LARC continuation rates among adolescents are much higher at 81.0%.<sup>24</sup> The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists supports offering LARC to all sexually active teens as the top-tier method.<sup>26</sup> Nevertheless, LARC use among teens still remains low (7.1% in 2013).<sup>27</sup>

There are many barriers that prevent LARC initiation and use in teens. The main barriers are cost and misconceptions among patients and providers.<sup>28-33</sup> Other barriers are access obstacles, fears

and concerns of peers, families, and partners, shifting birth control preferences of adolescents,  $\frac{34}{2}$  and providers' skill and confidence.  $\frac{35}{2}$ 

Programs around the country are implementing interventions to try to overcome these barriers. In the Contraceptive CHOICE project, when financial barriers were removed, 62% of adolescents chose to use LARC over other free methods.<sup>36</sup> In North Carolina, when two clinics provided free IUDs to uninsured, low income women, the risk of pregnancy was 11% compared to 32% in women using alternative or no contraception.<sup>37</sup> A school-based health center in Seattle promoted LARC access through educating providers on LARC insertion and removal as well as effective counseling techniques.<sup>35</sup> A different study emphasized the importance of adolescents' perceptions of provider-patient communication. Teen patients whose providers talked in a way they could understand and listened to them had more confidence in their ability to prevent unintended repeat pregnancies.<sup>38</sup> An economic projection estimated that providing an immediate postpartum implant could save \$4.5 million at 36 months of continuation.<sup>39</sup> Furthermore, teens who initiate LARC within 8 weeks of delivery are less likely to have repeat pregnancies.<sup>40</sup>

At Mountain Area Health Education Center OB/GYN Specialists (MOGS), there is a special clinic (MOGS Teen Clinic) that focuses on addressing the age-specific needs of pregnant adolescents. MOGS Teen Clinic provides integrated services emphasizing self-confidence, education, parenting skills, support services, and contraception counseling throughout prenatal care. However, since this is an optional clinic many teens choose to participate in traditional OB prenatal care. In order to optimize interventions in all OB clinics at MOGS, we sought to understand how effectively we provide pregnant adolescents with adequate prenatal care, appropriate contraceptive counseling, planning, and delivery, especially regarding LARC, and prevent unintended repeat pregnancies. In addition, we sought to determine what factors prevent intended postpartum LARC use.

#### Methods

We conducted a retrospective chart review of pregnant teenage patients age 15-19 years old with deliveries between March 2013 and August 2014 (n = 174). We excluded all teen patients seen at MOGS for a consultation only (n = 19). Adolescents who were MOGS patients ages 15-19 and delivered at Mission Health Hospital were eligible for the study. We included 155 teens and 156 pregnancies in our statistical analysis; one patient had two pregnancies within the study period. This retrospective, medical chart review was approved by the Mission Institutional Review Board.

We reviewed maternal outpatient records and inpatient discharge summaries between July 2012 and June 2015 to include 8 months of prenatal care, delivery, and up to 24 months of postpartum records. We extracted five patient characteristics for 155 adolescents from their most recent pregnancy (see Table 1). All other data besides patient characteristics were extracted on 156 pregnancies. Other data included: six prenatal health utilization variables, three pregnancy characteristics, six delivery characteristics and infant outcomes, nine postpartum variables, and two repeat pregnancy variables (Appendix A).

Prenatal healthcare utilization variables focused on care at MOGS, but also included, if available, some information about prenatal care from other clinics prior to care at MOGS. The postpartum variables included data from hospital discharge through 8 weeks postpartum. All teens were recommended to come to a 4-6 week postpartum visit (postpartum visit #1); many teens had to return for a second postpartum visit (postpartum follow-up) to initiate contraception. We collected at least 10 months of postpartum data on all deliveries. Due to delivery dates and timeframe for data collection, we were not able to collect 24 months of postpartum data on all deliveries. If patients did not return to care, we assumed they did not have a repeat pregnancy.

Variables created were late entry into prenatal care defined as  $\geq 14$  weeks<sup>41</sup> and inadequate prenatal care defined as <5 visits for deliveries <37 weeks and <8 visits for deliveries  $\geq 37$  weeks.<sup>42</sup> The primary outcome was receiving intended postpartum LARC by 8 weeks postpartum. We defined

intended postpartum LARC use as any plan for LARC in the postpartum period whether stated at hospital discharge or the 4-6 week postpartum visit.

We defined postpartum contraception use as any contraception used during the postpartum period, whether initiated in the hospital prior to discharge, at the 4-6 week postpartum visit, or at the postpartum follow-up visit (within 8 weeks postpartum). We included the postpartum follow-up period because MOGS office policies regarding LARC placement are continuously changing based on insurance reimbursement policies. At the beginning of our study period, MOGS office policy did not allow same day LARC placement so a follow-up visit was required to receive LARC. This policy changed twice during the study period: first to allow for same day intra-uterine device (IUD) and contraceptive implant placement, and subsequently to allow for only same day IUD placement. Contraceptive implant placement required a follow-up visit.

We grouped the different contraceptive methods into five categories based on the three tiered effectiveness chart from Contraceptive Technology:<sup>43</sup> 1) most effective (LARC); 2) moderately effective (hormonal methods lasting for  $\leq$  3 months); 3) least effective (barrier methods and natural family planning); 4) abstinence; and 5) none.

#### **Statistical Analyses**

All variables were summarized and reported as frequency (percent), mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, or median (minimum-maximum score). We used Chi square to examine relationships between the primary outcome, two socio-demographics (age and parity), and four healthcare utilization indices (late entry into prenatal care, inadequate prenatal care, prenatal discussion of contraception, and MOGS Teen Clinic patient). Variables with a p<0.2 were entered into a binary logistic regression model to examine predictors of the primary outcome: receiving intended postpartum LARC. All data analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS<sup>®</sup> (Armonk, NY).

#### Results

#### **Characteristics of Teen Patients**

The 155 adolescents were predominately white, nulliparous and between the ages of 18-19 years old (see Table 1).

Table 1. Characteristics of Teen Patients during Pregnancy								
Patients		N = 155						
		N (%)						
Age at Delivery	15-17 years	40 (25.8)						
	18-19 years	115 (74.2)						
Race	White	120 (77.4)						
	Black	23 (14.8)						
Native American,	3 (1.9)							
	9 (5.8)							
Ethnicity	Hispanic	10 (6.5)						
	Non-Hispanic	142 (91.6)						
	Not Documented	3 (1.9)						
Gravity	1	121 (78.1)						
	2-3	34 (21.9)						
Parity	0	135 (87.1)						
	1-2	20 (12.9)						
Use of Birth Control at C								
	Yes	19 (12.3)						
	No	136 (87.7)						

Table 1. Characteristics of Teen Patients during Pregnancy

Note. Data reported from most recent pregnancy.

# Prenatal Healthcare Utilization

Most adolescents tended to start prenatal care in the first trimester whether at MOGS or at another clinic [94 (60.3%)]. On average, teens attended 12±4 prenatal visits, including visits at MOGS and other clinics. One (0.6%) patient had no prenatal care; 58 (37.2%) women entered prenatal care late, and 22 (14.1%) women received inadequate prenatal care. Nearly all the women [142(91%)] had a documented prenatal discussion about postpartum contraception (see Table 2). Thirteen of the 126 (10.3%) teens who received prenatal care in the regular OB clinic did not have a postpartum contraception discussion. Eighty-nine (70.6%) teens who received care in the regular OB clinic intended postpartum LARC.

# **MOGS Teen Clinic Participation**

Thirty women (19.2%) received prenatal care in the MOGS Teen Clinic (see Table 2). One (3.3%) of those teens did not receive a prenatal discussion about postpartum contraception.

	N = 156
	N (%)
Documentation of Prenatal Care Elsewhere prior to MOGS	32 (20.5)
Gestational Age at Intake	
0-12weeks	18 (56.3)
13-28 weeks	13 (40.6)
>28weeks	1 (3.1)
# of prenatal care visits [median (min-max)]	3.5 (1-13)
Prenatal Care at MOGS	155 (99.4)
Gestational age at first prenatal care visit in MOGS	
0-12weeks	79 (50.6)
13-28 weeks	56 (35.9)
>28weeks	20 (12.8)
# of MOGS office visits [median (min-max)]	11 (0-25)
MOGS Prenatal Clinic	
Teen Clinic	30 (19.2)
Regular OB Clinic	126 (80.8)
Entry to Care	
No prenatal care	1 (0.6)
Late entry to prenatal care (intake $\geq$ 14weeks)	58 (37.2)
Adequacy of Prenatal Care	
Inadequate prenatal care	22 (14.1)
(<5visits for <37week delivery; <8visits for $\geq$ 37week delivery)	
Discussed postpartum birth control during MOGS prenatal care	142 (91.0)
	1

## Table 2. Adolescent Prenatal Healthcare Utilization

Note. Abbreviations: MOGS - MAHEC OB/GYN Specialists.

## Postpartum Contraception

Forty-four teens (28.2%) were using moderate or least effective methods of contraception when discharged from the hospital. Of these, 22 (50.0%) were using these methods as a bridge until they could receive LARC. Another 96 (61.5%) had a documented plan to initiate postpartum contraception at the 4-6 week postpartum visit (see Figure 1). The teen who had two pregnancies during the time

frame planned to initiate contraception at the postpartum visit after the first delivery and received moderately effective contraception at discharge as a bridge to LARC after her second delivery.

The majority of women attended their postpartum visit [118 women over 119 deliveries; (76.3%)] at MOGS. At their postpartum visit, an additional 44 (28.2%) women initiated contraception. The teen who had two pregnancies initiated contraception at the postpartum visit after the first delivery. The most common form of contraception obtained from a postpartum visit was a moderately effective method (41.7%) followed by most effective method (12.2%). By the end of the postpartum follow-up period (discharge through 8 weeks), 112 teens (over 113 deliveries; 72.4%) were on contraception, and the most common form was LARC (44.2%) (see Figure 1).





Note. "None" in the "Postpartum Visit" and "Postpartum Follow-up Visit" columns includes the patients who were not using any contraception at hospital discharge and did not come to their postpartum appointments. All BC methods included were being utilized postpartum except in the "plan for BC" category. Abbreviations: BC - birth control.

## Postpartum LARC

Overall, 116 (74.4%) women planned to receive LARC at some point in the postpartum period [107 (68.9%) at discharge and 9 (5.8%) at the postpartum visit]. Eighty-nine (70.6%) teens who received care in the regular OB clinic intended postpartum LARC; 48 (53.9%) of these received LARC. Twenty-seven (90.0%) of the teens who received care in the MOGS Teen Clinic intended postpartum LARC; 21(77.8%) of these received LARC.

Of those who planned LARC, 69 (59.5%) received LARC by the end of the postpartum followup visit (see Figure 2). Twenty-seven (23.3%) teens who planned to use LARC did not attend their scheduled appointment to receive LARC [19 (70.4%) missed the 4-6 week postpartum visit and 8 (29.6%) missed the postpartum follow-up visit].

Fifteen (21.7%) of the women who began LARC discontinued it within 20 months of insertion. The  $\geq$  10 month LARC continuation rate was 78.3% (see Figure 3). The most common reasons for discontinuation were irregular bleeding [4 (28.6%)] and IUD expulsion [3 (21.4%)]. Other reasons reported included hair loss, weight gain, discomfort, and desire for pregnancy.



Figure 2. Intended Postpartum LARC Outcomes N = 116

Note. Abbreviations: LARC - long-acting reversible contraception; BC - birth control.



Figure 3. Postpartum LARC Continuation (10 to 24 Months), N = 69

# **Repeat Pregnancy**

We collected 10 months of postpartum data on all 156 deliveries. Of the 156 deliveries, 10 women experienced a repeat pregnancy in <10 months. While duration of follow up varies after 10 months, we identified an additional eight adolescents who had experienced a repeat pregnancy in <20 months. As shown in the table of cases (see Table 5), half of the teens who had a repeat pregnancy at any time [9 (50.0%)] had received moderately effective postpartum contraception. Four (22.2%) of the teens who had a repeat pregnancy had received the most effective method of postpartum contraception but subsequently discontinued use or switched to a less effective method.

	ruble 51 Repeat Treghaney Case	Tuble
Patient #	Birth Control at End of	Months to
	8-week Postpartum Period	Repeat Pregnancy
1	None	<1
2	OCP	3
3	Condoms	3
4	None	4
5	OCP	5
6	Depo-Provera Shot	6
7	OCP	6
8	Implant	8
9	OCP	10
10	IUD	10
11	OCP	11
12	Implant	11
13	Nuvaring	13
14	Nuvaring	13
15	Depo-Provera Shot	14
16	None	14
17	None	16
18	Implant	19

## Table 5. Repeat Pregnancy Case Table

Note. Abbreviations: OCP - oral contraceptive pills; IUD - intrauterine device.

## Predictors of Not Receiving Intended Postpartum LARC

Analysis included all women who intended postpartum LARC (n = 116). In univariate analysis with Chi square, late entry into prenatal care (p = 0.001), multiparity (p = 0.002), and attending at the MOGS Teen Clinic (p = 0.027) were significantly related to *not* receiving intended postpartum LARC. None of the other characteristics were significant: age (p = 0.406); mood disorder (p = 0.766); inadequate prenatal care (p = 0.299); prenatal discussion of contraception (p = 0.896); route of delivery (p = 0.809); preterm delivery (p = 0.544); twins (p = 0.981); or contraception plan for LARC at discharge (p = 0.244).

Late entry into prenatal care, multiparity, and attending the MOGS teen clinic were entered into the binary logistic regression model. The significant predictors of *not* receiving intended postpartum LARC identified were: entering prenatal care late and multiparity (see Table 6).

Predictor Variables	Reference	OR	95% CI	Р
Late to/No PNC	Start PNC during 1 <sup>st</sup> Trimester	3.933	1.688-9.165	0.002
Multiparous	Primiparous	7.059	1.726-28.869	0.007
MOGS Teen Clinic Patient	Regular OB Clinic Patient	0.361	0.122-1.070	0.066

Note. Abbreviations: PNC - prenatal care. Statistical analysis: binary logistic regression

#### Discussion

The prenatal and immediate postpartum periods are opportune times to intervene with teen mothers to stop the cycle of repeat, unplanned pregnancies and to ensure appropriate birth spacing.<sup>9</sup> Of our 156 pregnancies, nearly all (91.0%) had a documented prenatal discussion about postpartum contraception, and the majority (76.3%) attended their postpartum visit. Nevertheless, our rate of postpartum contraception use of 72.4% was lower than the 2010 national rate among teens (82.0%).<sup>23</sup>

However, our overall rate of LARC use of 44.2% was much higher than the 7.1% in the US in 2013.<sup>27</sup> Those who received LARC in our study had a 78.3% continuation rate at 10 months, which is comparable to the continuation rates in other studies.<sup>24</sup>

Still, LARC initiation is an area for improvement for our teen patients, considering that of the 116 (74%) teens who planned LARC, 47 (40.5%) did not receive it. The only significant predictors of *not* receiving intended postpartum LARC identified in this study were multiparity and late entry into prenatal care. We hypothesize multiparous mothers were less likely to receive intended postpartum LARC because they have more time constraints with multiple children at home and a history of repeat pregnancy. Literature suggests presenting late to prenatal care might be indicative of barriers to getting to the clinic, both emotional and logistical.<sup>44</sup> We speculate these same barriers prevent women from attending their scheduled 4-6 week postpartum and postpartum follow-up visits to receive intended postpartum LARC. Patients who are multiparous or initiate prenatal care late may benefit from extensive provider counseling and immediate postpartum follow-up to help ensure placement of intended postpartum LARC.

Another challenge we identified was poor visit attendance for LARC placement during the postpartum period. Although more than 3 in 4 women attended their postpartum visit, 27 (23.3%) women did not attend their scheduled appointment to receive LARC at the clinic. Nineteen (70.4%) of these women missed their 4-6 week postpartum visit and eight (29.6%) missed their postpartum follow-up visit, and therefore did not initiate LARC. Same day placement of LARC at the postpartum visit would likely help address this issue. However, insurance reimbursement is a continual barrier for same day LARC placement, in both inpatient and outpatient settings.

Consideration of an alternative prenatal care model such as CenteringPregnancy<sup>®</sup>, group prenatal care visits that combine health assessment, education, and support,<sup>45</sup> may be beneficial to teens as it has been shown to encourage high postpartum attendance rates (87%).<sup>46</sup> These reported rates are higher than our overall postpartum visit attendance rate (76.3%).

Additionally, the MOGS Teen Clinic is another form of prenatal care that is designed to provide integrated services to teens throughout pregnancy and emphasize the importance of planning postpartum contraception. We identified a trend that MOGS Teen Clinic patients who intended postpartum LARC were more likely to receive it (77.8%) than those who received regular OB care (53.9%). Based on these results, we need to evaluate the barriers preventing teens from participating in the MOGS Teen Clinic.

Other potential interventions to increase LARC initiation rates include immediate postpartum placement prior to hospital discharge;<sup>47</sup> more intensive communication with patients to increase follow-up; referral to a more accessible clinic for follow-up; and financial assistance for LARC if it is not covered by insurance.<sup>28,31</sup> There is potential for follow-up studies in this population examining how these interventions could increase access to postpartum contraception including LARC and in turn, reduce unplanned repeat teen pregnancy.

Generalizability of our results is limited by the retrospective design, which constrained the variables available for examination. The MOGS clinic policy regarding LARC placement changed multiple times during the study period which may have influenced postpartum LARC initiation rates. Many of our patients travel a significant distance for prenatal care at our clinic or are transferred to our care because of a high-risk pregnancy. Data for these patients prior to establishing care at MOGS is limited [32(20.5%)]. Furthermore, it is possible that patients from outlying communities sought

postpartum care closer to home; thus, they were not captured in our postpartum data with regards to postpartum visit attendance, contraception initiation and discontinuation, or repeat pregnancy.

# Conclusions

LARC is an effective method to help adolescents prevent repeat, unintended pregnancies. Teens may benefit from immediate postpartum LARC placement prior to hospital discharge or same day placement of LARC at the 4-6 week postpartum visit, especially if they are multiparous and/or initiate prenatal care late. Interventions to help provide these services need to be explored.

## References

- 1. Martin JA, Hamilton BE, Osterman MJ, Curtin SC, Matthews TJ. <u>Births: final data for 2013.</u> Natl Vital Stat Rep. 2015 Jan 15;64(1):1-65. PubMed PMID: 25603115.
- Comlossy M. Delivering good news: the U.S. teen birth rate has fallen by more than half over the past two decades, but it's still higher than desirable. State Legis. 2014 Mar;40(3):30-3. PubMed PMID: 24689123.
- 3. Ventura SJ, Hamilton BE, Mathews TJ; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). <u>Pregnancy and childbirth among females aged 10-19 years - United States, 2007-2010.</u> MMWR Surveill Summ. 2013 Nov 22;62 Suppl 3:71-6. PubMed PMID: 24264493.
- 4. North Carolina Center for Health Statistics. North Carolina Vital Statistics, Volume 1: Population, births, deaths, marriages, divorces. 2012 [Internet]. Raleigh: North Carolina State Center for Health Statistics [cited 2015 June 17]. Available from: http://www.schs.state.nc.us/schs/vitalstats/volume1/2012/.
- 5. Chen XK, Wen SW, Fleming N, Demissie K, Rhoads GG, Walker M. <u>Teenage pregnancy and</u> <u>adverse birth outcomes: a large population based retrospective cohort study.</u> Int J Epidemiol. 2007 Apr;36(2):368-73. Epub 2007 Jan 8. PubMed PMID: 17213208.
- 6. Hoffman S. Updated estimates of the consequences of teen childbearing for mothers. In: Hoffman S, Maynard R, eds. Kids having kids: Economic costs and social consequences of teen pregnancy. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute Press; 2008. p. 74-92.
- Manlove J, Terry-Humen E, Mincieli L, Moore K. Outcomes for children of teen mothers from kindergarten through adolescence. In: Hoffman S, Maynard R, eds. Kids having kids: Economic costs and social consequences of teen pregnancy. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute Press; 2008. P. 161-196.
- 8. Hoffman S, Scher L. Consequences of teen childbearing for the life chances of children, 1979-2002. In: Hoffman S, Maynard R, eds. *Kids having kids: Economic and social consequences of teen pregnancy*. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute Press; 2008. p. 342-358.
- 9. Gibbs CM, Wendt A, Peters S, Hogue CJ. <u>The impact of early age at first childbirth on maternal</u> <u>and infant health.</u> Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2012 Jul;26 Suppl 1:259-84. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3016.2012.01290.x. Review. PubMed PMID: 22742615.
- 10. Patel PH, Sen B. <u>Teen motherhood and long-term health consequences</u>. Matern Child Health J. 2012 Jul;16(5):1063-71. doi: 10.1007/s10995-011-0829-2. PubMed PMID: 21656056.
- 11. Ruedinger E, Cox JE. <u>Adolescent childbearing: consequences and interventions.</u> Curr Opin Pediatr. 2012 Aug;24(4):446-52. doi: 10.1097/MOP.0b013e3283557b89. Review. PubMed PMID: 22790098.
- 12. Klein JD; American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Adolescence. <u>Adolescent pregnancy:</u> <u>current trends and issues</u>. Pediatrics. 2005 Jul;116(1):281-6. PubMed PMID: 15995071.
- 13. Meade CS, Ickovics JR. <u>Systematic review of sexual risk among pregnant and mothering teens in</u> <u>the USA: pregnancy as an opportunity for integrated prevention of STD and repeat pregnancy.</u> Soc Sci Med. 2005 Feb;60(4):661-78. Review. PubMed PMID: 15571886.

- 14. Gemmill A, Lindberg LD. <u>Short interpregnancy intervals in the United States</u>. Obstet Gynecol. 2013 Jul;122(1):64-71. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e3182955e58. PubMed PMID: 23743455; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3749871.
- 15. Boardman LA, Allsworth J, Phipps MG, Lapane KL. <u>Risk factors for unintended versus intended</u> <u>rapid repeat pregnancies among adolescents.</u> J Adolesc Health. 2006 Oct;39(4):597.e1-8. Epub 2006 Jul 10. PubMed PMID: 16982398.
- 16. Raneri LG, Wiemann CM. <u>Social ecological predictors of repeat adolescent pregnancy.</u> Perspect Sex Reprod Health. 2007 Mar;39(1):39-47. PubMed PMID: 17355380.
- 17. Finer LB, Zolna MR. <u>Unintended pregnancy in the United States: incidence and disparities, 2006.</u> Contraception. 2011 Nov;84(5):478-85. doi: 10.1016/j.contraception.2011.07.013. Epub 2011 Aug 24. PubMed PMID: 22018121; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3338192.
- 18. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). <u>Prepregnancy contraceptive use among teens</u> with unintended pregnancies resulting in live births - Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring <u>System (PRAMS), 2004-2008.</u> MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2012 Jan 20;61(2):25-9. PubMed PMID: 22258415.
- 19. Klerman LV, Cliver SP, Goldenberg RL. <u>The impact of short interpregnancy intervals on pregnancy outcomes in a low-income population</u>. Am J Public Health. 1998 Aug;88(8):1182-5. PubMed PMID: 9702144; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1508292.
- 20. Conde-Agudelo A, Rosas-Bermúdez A, Kafury-Goeta AC. <u>Birth spacing and risk of adverse</u> <u>perinatal outcomes: a meta-analysis.</u> JAMA. 2006 Apr 19;295(15):1809-23. PubMed PMID: 16622143.
- 21. Conde-Agudelo A, Rosas-Bermúdez A, Kafury-Goeta AC. Effects of birth spacing on maternal health: a systematic review. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2007 Apr;196(4):297-308. Review. PubMed PMID: 17403398.
- 22. Davis EM, Babineau DC, Wang X, Zyzanski S, Abrams B, Bodnar LM, Horwitz RI. <u>Short inter-pregnancy intervals, parity, excessive pregnancy weight gain and risk of maternal obesity.</u> Matern Child Health J. 2014 Apr;18(3):554-62. doi: 10.1007/s10995-013-1272-3. PubMed PMID: 23595566; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3840151.
- 23. Guttmacher Institute. Contraceptive use in the United States [Internet]. New York, NY: Guttmacher Institute; 2013 Fact Sheet [cited 2015 June 17]. Available from: http://www.guttmacher.org/pubs/fb\_contr\_use.html.
- 24. Rosenstock JR, Peipert JF, Madden T, Zhao Q, Secura GM. <u>Continuation of reversible</u> <u>contraception in teenagers and young women</u>. Obstet Gynecol. 2012 Dec;120(6):1298-305. doi: http://10.1097/AOG.0b013e31827499bd. PubMed PMID: 23168753; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4037699.
- 25. Raine TR, Foster-Rosales A, Upadhyay UD, Boyer CB, Brown BA, Sokoloff A, Harper CC. <u>One-year contraceptive continuation and pregnancy in adolescent girls and women initiating hormonal contraceptives.</u> Obstet Gynecol. 2011 Feb;117(2 Pt 1):363-71. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e31820563d3. PubMed PMID: 21252751; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3154007.
- 26. Committee on Adolescent Health Care Long-Acting Reversible Contraception Working Group, The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. <u>Committee opinion no. 539: adolescents and long-acting reversible contraception: implants and intrauterine devices.</u> Obstet Gynecol. 2012 Oct;120(4):983-8. PubMed PMID: 22996129.
- 27. Romero L, Pazol K, Warner L, Gavin L, Moskosky S, Besera G, Loyola Briceno AC, Jatlaoui T, Barfield W; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). <u>Vital signs: trends in use of longacting reversible contraception among teens aged 15-19 years seeking contraceptive services— United States, 2005-2013.</u> MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2015 Apr 10;64(13):363-9. PubMed PMID: 25856258.

- 28. Secura GM, Allsworth JE, Madden T, Mullersman JL, Peipert JF. <u>The Contraceptive CHOICE</u> <u>Project: reducing barriers to long-acting reversible contraception</u>. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2010 Aug;203(2):115.e1-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2010.04.017. Epub 2010 Jun 11. PubMed PMID: 20541171; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2910826.
- 29. Russo JA, Miller E, Gold MA. <u>Myths and misconceptions about long-acting reversible</u> <u>contraception (LARC).</u> J Adolesc Health. 2013 Apr;52(4 Suppl):S14-21. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2013.02.003. Review. PubMed PMID: 23535052.
- 30. Kavanaugh ML, Frohwirth L, Jerman J, Popkin R, Ethier K. <u>Long-acting reversible contraception for</u> <u>adolescents and young adults: patient and provider perspectives.</u> J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2013 Apr;26(2):86-95. doi: 10.1016/j.jpag.2012.10.006. Epub 2012 Dec 31. PubMed PMID: 23287602; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3672067.
- Eisenberg D, McNicholas C, Peipert JF. <u>Cost as a barrier to long-acting reversible contraceptive</u> (LARC) use in adolescents. J Adolesc Health. 2013 Apr;52(4 Suppl):S59-63. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2013.01.012. Review. PubMed PMID: 23535059.
- 32. Okpo E, Allerton L, Brechin S. <u>'But you can't reverse a hysterectomy!' Perceptions of long acting reversible contraception (LARC) among young women aged 16-24 years: a qualitative study.</u> Public Health. 2014 Oct;128(10):934-9. doi: 10.1016/j.puhe.2014.08.012. Epub 2014 Oct 22. PubMed PMID: 25369357.
- 33. Teal SB, Romer SE. <u>Awareness of long-acting reversible contraception among teens and young adults.</u> J Adolesc Health. 2013 Apr;52(4 Suppl):S35-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2013.01.013. Review. PubMed PMID: 23535055.
- 34. Weston MR, Martins SL, Neustadt AB, Gilliam ML. Factors influencing uptake of intrauterine devices among postpartum adolescents: a qualitative study. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2012 Jan;206(1):40.e1-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2011.06.094. Epub 2011 Jul 13. PubMed PMID: 21903193; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3237760.
- 35. Gilmore K, Hoopes AJ, Cady J, Amies Oelschlager AM, Prager S, Vander Stoep A. Providing longacting reversible contraception services in Seattle school-based health centers: key themes for facilitating implementation. J Adolesc Health. 2015 Jun;56(6):658-65. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2015.02.016. PubMed PMID: 26003582.
- 36. Mestad R, Secura G, Allsworth JE, Madden T, Zhao Q, Peipert JF. <u>Acceptance of long-acting</u> reversible contraceptive methods by adolescent participants in the Contraceptive CHOICE Project. Contraception. 2011 Nov;84(5):493-8. doi: 10.1016/j.contraception.2011.03.001. Epub 2011 Apr 27. PubMed PMID: 22018123; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3505875.
- 37. Fanarjian N, Drostin C, Garrett J, Montalvo A. <u>Does the provision of free intrauterine contraception reduce pregnancy rates among uninsured low-income women? A cohort study: a two North Carolina clinics.</u> Contraception. 2012 Feb;85(2):160-5. doi: 10.1016/j.contraception.2011.06.002. Epub 2011 Jul 14. PubMed PMID: 22067782.
- 38. Carvajal DN, Burrell L, Duggan AK, Barnet B. <u>Repeat pregnancy prevention self-efficacy in adolescents: associations with provider communication, provider type, and depression.</u> South Med J. 2012 Nov;105(11):591-7. doi: 10.1097/SMJ.0b013e31826ff173. PubMed PMID: 23128802.
- 39. Han L, Teal SB, Sheeder J, Tocce K. <u>Preventing repeat pregnancy in adolescents: is immediate postpartum insertion of the contraceptive implant cost effective?</u> Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2014 Jul;211(1):24.e1-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2014.03.015. Epub 2014 Mar 11. PubMed PMID: 24631431.
- 40. Damle LF, Gohari AC, McEvoy AK, Desale SY, Gomez-Lobo V. <u>Early initiation of postpartum</u> <u>contraception: does it decrease rapid repeat pregnancy in adolescents?</u> J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2015 Feb;28(1):57-62. doi: 10.1016/j.jpag.2014.04.005. Epub 2014 May 5. PubMed PMID: 25555302.

- 41. American Academy of Pediatrics, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Guidelines for perinatal care. 7th ed. Elk Grove Village (IL): AAP; Washington, DC: American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists; 2012. p. 109-110, 160, 192-194, 248.
- 42. Kotelchuck M. <u>An evaluation of the Kessner Adequacy of Prenatal Care Index and a proposed</u> <u>Adequacy of Prenatal Care Utilization Index.</u> Am J Public Health. 1994 Sep;84(9):1414-20. PubMed PMID: 8092364; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1615177.
- 43. Hatcher RA, Trussell J, Nelson AL, Cates W, Kowal D, Policar MS. Contraceptive Efficacy [Internet]. Atlanta: Contraceptive Technology 20<sup>th</sup> edition; 2013 [cited 2015 June 23]. Available from: http://www.contraceptivetechnology.org/the-book/take-a-peek/contraceptive-efficacy/.
- 44. Pagnini DL, Reichman NE. <u>Psychosocial factors and the timing of prenatal care among women in</u> <u>New Jersey's HealthStart program.</u> Fam Plann Perspect. 2000 Mar-Apr;32(2):56-64. PubMed PMID: 10779236.
- 45. Centering Healthcare Institute. Centering pregnancy overview [Internet]. Boston: Centering Healthcare Institute; 2014 [cited 2015 June 17]. Available from: https://centeringhealthcare.org/pages/centering-model/pregnancy-overview.php.
- 46. Grady MA, Bloom KC. <u>Pregnancy outcomes of adolescents enrolled in a CenteringPregnancy</u> program. J Midwifery Womens Health. 2004 Sep-Oct;49(5):412-20. PubMed PMID: 15351331.
- 47. Tocce KM, Sheeder JL, Teal SB. <u>Rapid repeat pregnancy in adolescents: do immediate postpartum contraceptive implants make a difference?</u> Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2012 Jun;206(6):481.e1-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2012.04.015. Epub 2012 Apr 16. PubMed PMID: 22631865.

**Disclosure:** None of the authors have a conflict of interest to disclose.

**Financial Support:** This study was underwritten by the MAHEC Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology

## Author's Contributions:

Regan Gage, MD: Conceptualization and design, data collection, interpretation of data, drafting and critical revision of article Anna Beth Parlier, BS: Data entry, management, and analysis through manuscript completion Lydia Russell-Roy, BA: Data collection, drafting and critical revision of article Mimi Konitzer, MD: Data collection and critical revision of article Bre Bolivar, MD: Data collection, drafting and critical revision of article Addie Bardolph, BS: Data collection, drafting and critical revision of article Andrea Currens, MD: Conceptualization and design, interpretation of data, drafting and critical revision of article

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank Shelley L. Galvin, MA for statistical expertise and critical revision of article, and Kacey R. Scott, MLIS for manuscript development.

**Previous Presentation**: Paper Presented at the 22<sup>nd</sup> Annual MAHEC Research Day, Asheville, NC, May 6, 2015; Winner of the 2015 MAHEC Research Day Audience Award.

**Correspondence:** Anna Beth Parlier, BS MAHEC Center for Research 119 Hendersonville Road, Asheville, NC 28803 828.771.5534 Email: <u>annabeth.parlier@mahec.net</u>

#### Appendix A - Examining Postpartum Contraception Choices among Teens

MRN:	_						Study ID #	:			
Race:	Vhite Black		Asian		Native		Other:				
Ethnicity: Hispanic		Non Hispanic									
GA at intake to MAHEC:		week	S	G		Р					
# MAHEC prenatal visits:							Delivery dat	te of last p	regnancy		
GA at intake to outside clinic:				week	<s< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></s<>						
# outside prenatal visits:											
Tobacco Use During Pregn	ancy?	Yes	No	Quit? Yes No							
Pregnancy/Medical Compl	ications:										
Feelings about pregnancy:											
Contraception use on conc	eption?	Yes	No								
If yes, type of contraceptic	on:										
OCPs	Nuvaring		Depo	Cond	loms	Mirena	a IUD	Paragard	IUD	Nexpla	non
Other											
Teen clinic pt?	Yes No										
Discussed postpartum birt	h control during pro	enatal o	care:			YES		NO			
Contraceptive choice at pr	enatal visits:										
OCPs	Nuvaring		Depo	Cond	loms	Mirena	a IUD	Paragard	IUD	Nexpla	non
Other											
Age at Delivery:				Dat	te of Delivery:						
GA at delivery:				D	elivery Route:	SVD -	IOL /	Spontan	eous		
Birth weight:		-				VBAC		Foceps		Vacuu	m
NICU admission:	Yes	-	No			Csectio	on:		Primary	/	Repeat
						Sched	uled Reason	:			
<b>Delivery Complications:</b>											
Planned contraceptive cho	ice at discharge?										
OCPs	Nuvaring		Depo	Cond	loms	Mirena	a IUD	Paragard	IUD	Nexpla	non
Other						None					
Recieved contraceptive ch	oice at discharge?										
OCPs	Nuvaring		Depo	Cond	loms	Mirena	a IUD	Paragard	IUD	Nexpla	non
Other						None					
Scheduled postpartum visi	t?	Yes		No		Attended postpartum visit?			Yes	No	
Contraceptive choice at po	stpartum visit?										
OCPs	Nuvaring	•	Depo	Cond	loms	Mirena	a IUD	Paragard	IUD	Nexpla	non
Other						None					
Need a postpartum follow	-up visit to get cont	racepti	ion?		Yes		No				
Schedule a postpartum fol	low-up visit?	Yes		No		Attend	d the postpa	rtum follo	w-up visit	Yes	No
Date of last follow up visit	:										
Contraception at last follo	w-up:					-					
OCPs	Nuvaring		Depo	Cond	loms	Mirena	a IUD	Paragard	IUD	Nexpla	inon
Other						None		-			
Discontinued contraceptio		Yes	No								
Why did they discontinue?											
- •						_					
Repeat pregnancy within 2	2 years:	Yes	No			EDD of	f pregnancy	:			

Gage, et al. (2015). "Examining Postpartum Contraception Choices among Teens" MAHEC Online Journal of Research, Volume 2, Issue 2